

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,185	01/18/2002	Sander Palvoelgyi	19361-089285	1155	
28886 7	7590 11/24/2003	EXAMINER SMALLEY, JAMES N			
CLARK HIL	L, P.C. ARD AVENUE, SUITE 359				
DETROIT, M		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3727		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	A			
			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/052,185		PALVOELGYI ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
		unication anno	James N Sm		3727			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inicauon appe	ears on the c	over sneet with the	correspondence addres	:S		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. (30) days, a reply v statutory period will bly will, by statute, o	6(a). In no event, within the statuto Il apply and will e cause the applica	however, may a reply be to ry minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tion to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commu IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	Inication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>22 Au</u>	<u>gust 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-	final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or	election req	uirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner	•					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	•	•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any ob	_		-				
400	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	-		=		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claimant of the priority of the priority of the priority of the acknowledgment is made of a claimant of the acknowledgment is made of a claimant of the translation of the foreign in the priority of the priority	ty documents by documents s of the priori tional Bureau tion for a list of for domestic ded in the first anguage provi	have been have been ty documen (PCT Rule of the certified priority und to sentence of the certified priority und the certified priority	received. received in Applica ts have been received for the copies not received as U.S.C. § 119 If the specification of the copies has been received as U.S.C. §§ 12	etion No ved in this National Standard ved. l(e) (to a provisional apport in an Application Data eceived. l(e) and/or 121 since a specific since a	plication) · a Sheet.		
Attachmer								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Due to the new grounds of rejection, and the withdraw of indication of allowable subject matter, this action is **Non-Final**.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on application GM 044/2001 filed in Austria on 19 January 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, 9-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wildfeuer US 5,074,428.

Wildfeuer discloses a closure for blow-molded barrels, teaching first and second spaced apart radial grooves that are fit with first and second sealing rings (13). The reinforcement member is neck (3), having inner and outer vertical surfaces, and which projects inward at its base.

The area located just underneath the annular web (8) functions as the sealing gap.

Reinforcement member is solid border (12).

5. Claims 1, 7 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Pew et al. US 3,343,707.

Art Unit: 3727

De Pew '707 teaches a closure for fuel tanks, having an inwardly projecting lip (48), and first and second sealing surface. Grooves in the second sealing surface, along the cap, contain first (30) and second (34) sealing rings. An unlabeled sealing gap is formed between the rings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-6, 8-9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Pew et al. US 3,343,707 in view of Behringer US 1,459,903.

De Pew '707 does not teach a reinforcement member to support the lip.

Limitations in Behringer '903

Behringer '903 discloses a bung neck wherein a threaded flange (3) serves to reinforce the bung opening. Although the reinforcing member (3) carries the threads for the bung opening, one having ordinary skill would recognize the flange acts as a standard reinforcing collar around the bung opening defined by the bung top wall (1), and could be added to any opening to serve as a reinforcement.

Obvious Inventive Step

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of De Pew '707, adding a reinforcing collar, such as the flange (3) disclosed by Behringer '903, so as to obtain the benefit of reinforcing the fuel neck opening.

Examiner further asserts that it is extremely well known and within ordinary skill to reinforce any structure under loading stresses. One having ordinary skill could easily add a truss to reinforce the flange (48) of De Pew '707, and would be motivated to do so in order to prevent inward or outward deformation of the fuel tank opening.

Art Unit: 3727

Further regarding De Pew '707, the sealing surfaces extend parallel and conically inward to the tank opening. The first and second grooves extend radially around the circumference of the lid.

Regarding claim 6, De Pew '707 discloses the claimed invention except that the inward flange of the wall is engaged by an outwardly flanged collar (52) instead of a reinforcing element engaging the collar. De Pew '707 shows that engaging any inward flange is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two ways of engaging an inward flange by a lid collar were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the lid engaging a reinforcing flange for a lid that engages the end wall flange.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 6,519964	US 4,699,292
US 5,746,359	US 4,027,777
US 5,709,313	US 3,401,647
US 5,071,140	US 2,316,507
US 5,036,996	US 2,209,081

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3727

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 306-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 308-5648, email CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James N Smalley whose telephone number is (703) 605-4670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-5:30, Alternate Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,185

Art Unit: 3727

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8 (703) 305-8322 (703) 308-9287 (703) 305-8309 Assignment Branch Certificates of Correction Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335 (703) 305-5125 Fee Increase Questions (703) 305-8217 (703) 305-9282 Intellectual Property Questions Petitions/Special Programs **Terminal Disclaimers** (703) 305-8408

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

> Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page

1-800-786-9199 http:www.uspto.gov/

jns

November 17, 2003

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**